Birnaviruses are the most common pathogens of aquatic animals. They were isolated from a wide variety of marine and freshwater fish and marine invertebrate shellfish such as crayfish, clams and blue mussel, (Vestergaard-Jørgensen, Bregnballe 1969; Bovo et al., 1984; Lo et al, 1988; Mortensen et al, 1992; Zhe et al, 2008). The infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) is a typical representative of the *Aquabirnavirus* genus, *Birnaviridae* family and it causes infectious pancreatic necrosis in salmonid fish with its typical features (Dixon et al, 2008; Woo, Bruno, 2011; Dopazo, 2020).

At present IPNV is known for its ability to infect over 60 species of fish and 15 species of freshwater and marine invertebrates. The mortality of brown trout and zebrafish was 76.6 and 40 % respectively. Swan mussel was apparently resistant to the virus, but it apparently accumulated and maintained substantial levels (up to $10^{-10}$ ID$_{50}$/ml$^{-1}$) of the virus for the duration of the experiment (35 days). The highest infectious titer was found in brown trout ($10^6$ ID$_{50}$/ml$^{-1}$). In zebrafish and swan mussels, it was up to $10^{4.5}$ ID$_{50}$/ml$^{-1}$ and $10^2$ ID$_{50}$/ml$^{-1}$ respectively. Conclusions. At the end of the experiments the IPNV titers were higher than the initial viral doses in brown trout and zebrafish, indicating virus reproduction. In diseased fish the blackening, whirling and anorexia were observed. It was shown that swan mussel accumulates and maintains virus for at least 35 days under experimental conditions and that it may act as symptomless vector of IPNV.
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riner aquatic invertebrates (Woo, Bruno, 2011; Dopazo, 2020). The aquabirna eel virus European (EVE), isolated from European eel (Anguilla anguilla) and causing eel nephritis, does not cause disease in rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss), but after experimental infection of the closely related Japanese eel, (A. japonica), it induced 50–75% mortality (Sano et al, 1981). IPNV isolated from moribund fry of striped bass Morone saxatilis does not cause any clinical or histopathological signs of disease in fingerlings striped bass of different strains even under the effects of environmental stress (Wechsler et al, 1986). The pathogenicity of Paralichthys olivaceus birnavirus (POBV) is higher in smaller fish. In addition the fry and juvenile flounder have different susceptibility to POBV, (Zhe et al, 2008; Jung et al, 2008). Pakingking et al, 2004 also reported that MABV-infected olive flounder did not show any abnormality, even when extremely high concentrations of MABV were detected (10^6.3 TCID₅₀/g). IPNV isolated in scallops (Pecten maximus) did not show mortality or clear pathological changes during experimental infection (Mortensen et al, 1990; 1992). IPNV, isolated from rainbow trout, easily induces the disease in chinook salmon (Onchorhynchus tshawytscha) and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), which demonstrates apparent virus transmission between the related species (Tafalla et al, 2006; Holopainen et al, 2017).

Bioaccumulating organisms may increase the risk of infection on fish farms, serving as reservoirs for relevant fish disease agents, including IPNV. Molloy et al, 2013 demonstrated the ability of blue mussel (Mytilus edulis) to bioaccumulate and transmit IPNV to smolts of Atlantic salmon but replication in this organism could not be proved or disproved. There was a report on IPNV replication in organs (hepatopancreatic tissue and haemolymph) of freshwater crayfish (Astacus astacus), revealed by electronic microscopy (Halder, Ahne, 1988). Rud et al (2014) so demonstrated that the Ukrainian Sp strain of IPNV, “Karpaty” (Genogroup 5), induced 26% mortality of the Danube crayfish (Pontastacus leptodactylus) after experimental infection. As rainbow trout, freshwater crayfish, and mussels coexist in one ecosystem, it was proven that these aquatic invertebrates may be infected with IPNV or accumulate it, feeding on infected rainbow trout, and/or vice versa, provide for virus transmission to rainbow trout when fish is kept in reservoirs with IPNV-infected freshwater invertebrates (Halder, Ahne, 1988; Molloy et al, 2013; Rud et al, 2014).

IPNV is an economically relevant infectious agent for trout farms in Ukraine, as it accounts for a roughly 50% mortality of rainbow trout fry (Matvienko et al, 2014). The virus was isolated from rainbow trout fry on the farms of Western Ukraine (Rud et al, 2015). It was subsequently identified on many farms and in natural trout populations of Ukraine (Rud et al, 2013). Contrary to many other viruses, IPNV can be transmitted vertically via fertilized eggs and is not eliminated by the treatment of those eggs with disinfecting solution. The risk of introduction of infected eggs from abroad into the farms is high, as within the last 10 years the fertilized eggs have been mostly imported, while producers have been reducing their own spawning schools (https://darg.gov.ua/; https://customs.gov.ua/).

Swan mussel (Anodonta cygnea) is a common freshwater species in Eastern Europe (Beran, 2019), and the understanding of its role in the IPNV dynamics in natural ecosystems may be relevant for aquaculture. This species plays an important role in freshwater ecosystems as a natural filterer (Bolotov et al, 2020). Brown trout (Salmo trutta) is a target species for aquaculture within the framework of the State program of stocking water objects with fish (https://darg.gov.ua/; https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/), so it is important to determine whether the local IPNV strain cause(s) high mortality. Zebrasfish (Danio rerio) is a common experimental species of fish, thus, the data regarding the Ukrainian IPNV Sp strain virulence are useful though do not relate to the aquaculture directly.

Therefore, the aim of our study was to determine susceptibility and sensitivity of a less studied fish host (brown trout) and to determine the role of the swan mussel, which may be directly related to the accumulation and transmission of IPNV. The experimental infections of brown trout, zebrasfish, and swan mussel were performed with the strain Sp IPNV “Karpaty”, isolated in Ukraine (Rud et al, 2015).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus and cell culture. Cell line RTG-2, obtained from female gonad of rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) was used for in vitro cultivation of the strain of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus “Karpaty” (Rud et al, 2015). RTG-2 cells were cultivated in MEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with the addition of 10% of inactivated fetal bovine serum FBS Gold (PPA, Austria) and 0.2% gentamicin (PC Darnytsia, Ukraine). The cells were cultivated as monolayer in 25 cm² plastic flasks at 20°C (Wolf, Quimby 1962). The infectious titer of the virus in the cell culture (the tissue culture infective dose or TCID₅₀) was calculated by the method of Reed and Muench (Reed, Muench, 1938).
Experimental infection. The experimental infection was obtained using brown trout at the age of 0+ with the weight of 10–15 g, mature zebrafish (4 cm of body length) and swan mussel under 5 years. The supernatants of culture medium from the infected RTG-2 cells were used for inoculation. Prior to inoculation, the inoculum was filtered through a syringe filter with 0.45 μm pore diameter (Sarstedt, Germany). To achieve the infectious titer of 10^3 TCID₅₀/ml⁻¹, 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared. Sterile, disposable insulin syringes were used for injections and injections/incubations were applied in abdominal cavity of fish and incurrent siphon of the swan mussel. After the injection, the experimental animals were placed into 40-liter aquaria. The control groups were inoculated with the supernatant of culture medium of non-infected RTG-2 cells. Each experimental group as well as the control group consisted of 10 animals. The destructive samples to confirm the presence of the virus in the organism and to determine its titer were taken every 7 days on days 7, 14, 21, 28 or 35 after the infection, using one experimental fish or mollusk. Each experiment was conducted in three repeats. The mortality of the experimental animals was monitored daily. The experiment lasted for 35 days.

Determining the infectious titer of the virus. The infectious titer of IPNV in the experimental animals was determined in RTG-2 cells. It required preparing the homogenates of kidney and spleen from brown trout, all internal organs of zebrafish and gills of swan mussel with a 1:10 dilution using Hanks’ solution according to the OIE Aquatic Manual (OIE, 2016) (200 mg of tissue). The homogenates were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatants were filtered using 0.45 μm syringe filter and 500 μl of each was used to inoculate RTG-2 cells. Prior to inoculation, cell monolayers were washed with the Hanks’ solution, thereafter RTG-2 cells were incubated together with the filtrate at 20 °C for 2 h. Then the filtrate was drained, and 5 ml fresh culture medium was added. The infected and control cells were monitored daily for the presence of morphological changes and visualization of the complete cytopathic effect (CCE) using an inverted biological microscope (ZEISS, Germany).

Conventional reverse transcription (RT-) PCR was used to detect the virus. Total RNA was extracted both from the cell culture and the samples of infected animals, using RNA GenJetTM set (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from RNA using cDNA Rever-tAidTM Premium First Strand Kit with recombinant M-MuLV RT according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Scientific, USA). PCR was conducted using the primers, specific for the VP2 gene of IPNV according to Williams et al, 1999 and Blake et al, 1995. The following primers were used:

WB1 5’-CCGCAACTTACTTGAGATCCATTAGC-3’,
WB2 5’-CGTCTGGTTCAATTTCCACTTGATG-3’,
PrA1 5’-TGAGATCCATTAGCTTCCAGA-3’,
PrA2 5’-GACAGGATCATCTGCGCATAGT-3’.

The WB primers were used to identify the virus in the infected animals throughout the experiment, as they are specific for birnaviruses, including IPNV and highly sensitive generating a small fragment of 204 bp (Williams et al, 1999). PrA primers flank almost the full-size viral gene VP2, encoding the capsid protein (Blake et al, 1995). As PrA primers in our experiments were more sensitive, therefore they were used to determine virus concentrations in infected animals and RTG-2 cells. To convert values of PCR products to equivalent titers of IPNV in PCR samples, the viral RNA was simultaneously determined in 10-fold serial dilutions of the supernatants of the infected cell culture RTG-2 and in the samples of infected animals, as adapted from the method of Falsey et al, 2003.

The PEQ STAR 96X Universal Gradient 96 well thermocycler was used for PCR (Life Science Competence Center Erlangen VWR International GmbH). The reaction mixture contained the following components: 12.5 μl DreamTaqTM Green PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Scientific), oligonucleotide primers (Metabion, Germany) – 1 μl each (20 pmol/ml), 2 μl of cDNA and sterile deionized water with the total volume 25 μl, including 2 μl sample cDNA. PCR also included positive (viral RNA), negative (all components without cDNA) and internal (β-actin gene specific primers) controls. The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94 °C (3 min), 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C (30 sec), annealing of primers at 60 °C (30 sec), synthesis at 72 °C (1 min) and a termination of synthesis at 72 °C (7 min). PCR products were analyzed in 2 % agarose gel in TAE buffer (40 mM TRIS-HCl, 20 mM of acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA and stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/mL)).

RESULTS

The infected RTG-2 cells were monitored visually every 24 h, using an inverted microscope (ZEISS, Germany) at 100 × magnification. On the third day after inoculation, the destruction of the cell monolayer and
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Fig. 1. The cytopathic effect of IPNV in the culture of RTG-2 cells on day 7 after inoculation (a), as compared to the control culture (b). ▲ – large round cells; ← several attached groups of cells (unstained cells, magnification × 100, scale bar = 100 μm)

Fig. 2. The cumulative mortality for brown trout, zebrafish and swan mussel (10 specimen each per species), inoculated with a 10³ TCID₅₀/ml–1 dose of IPNV. None of the animals died in control groups.

Such morphological changes as cytoplasmic vacuolization and cell rounding were observed. A typical cytopathic effect of the virus on RTG-2 cell culture was observed on day 5 after inoculation. Most cells were detached from the bottom of the culture flasks and floating within the medium. Only several groups of cells, attached to the flask surface, remained instead of the total monolayer (Fig. 1, a, b). CCE of RTG-2 cells was observed on day 7 after inoculation. The infectious titer of IPNV “Karpaty” in RTG-2 cells was 10⁶.9–7.4 TCID₅₀/ml.

Swan mussels were found to be able to accumulate and maintain substantial levels of IPNV. During the experiment they moved, actively opening and filtering water in the aquarium, and visual observations did not determine any signs of the disease. At the end of the experiment, the infected swan mussels and the ones in the control group continued their movements, were active and did not manifest any signs of the disease.

IPNV pathogenicity was proven for brown trout at the age of 0+ with the weight of 10–15 g and mature zebrafish (4 cm of body length). The onset of the active infection development was registered on days 5–12 after inoculation and the fish that died did so within 12–18 days after inoculation (Fig. 2). The mortality for brown trout was 76.6 ± 6.6 %. The initial symptoms included rapid breathing and staying at the surface of the water. Such signs of the infection as body darkening,
EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION OF BROWN TROUT (*SALMO TRUTTA*), ZEBRAFISH (*DANIO RERIO*)

The amount of the virus in the kidney and spleen of brown trout increased c. 1,000 times, reaching its maximum of 10⁶ ID₅₀/ml⁻¹ on day 21 after inoculation which is in agreement with the clinical course of the disease and extensive death of fish (c. 77 %, Table). Zebrafish was found to be sensitive to the Ukrainian isolate of IPNV as well, as the infectious titer of the virus increased ten times up to 10⁴ ID₅₀/ml⁻¹. This titer of the virus was observed on day 14 after inoculation, then the level of the virus decreased to 10² ID₅₀/ml⁻¹. At the end of the experiment, at 35 days, IPNV was still present in zebrafish which demonstrated virus persistence.

The infectious titer of IPNV decreased gradually for swan mussel which resulted in the impossibility to determine its titer by the cell culture method 21 days after inoculation. The highly sensitive RT-PCR, however, could detect the virus still up to 35 days after inoculation (Fig. 3) We demonstrated with this sensitive method that IPNV persists at low levels for a longer period of time (at least 35 days) and may serve as source of the virus.

**DISCUSSION**

The infectious pancreatic necrosis virus causes considerable global economic loss in the breeding of salmonids, with an average mortality of 50 % (Bandin, Dopazo, 2011). The incidents of the disease, caused by IPNV, take place even in the countries with developed salmon breeding industry, which may be related to the import of infected and/or contaminated fish and fertilized eggs (Murray, 2006; Ruane et al, 2009). In addition to infectious pancreatic necrosis, the researchers determined ten more diseases, caused by aquatic birna-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental animal</th>
<th>Method of detection</th>
<th>Infectious titer of the virus (TCID₅₀/ml⁻¹)/day after inoculation</th>
<th>Total mortality, % (after 35 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swan mussel (<em>Anodonta cygnea</em>)</td>
<td>CC, RT-PCR *</td>
<td>10³, 10³, 10², n/d, n/d, n/d</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown trout (<em>Salmo trutta</em>)</td>
<td>CC, RT-PCR</td>
<td>10³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻³, 10⁻², 10⁻¹, 10⁻¹</td>
<td>76.6 ± 6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish (<em>Danio rerio</em>)</td>
<td>CC, RT-PCR</td>
<td>10⁻¹, 10⁻¹, 10⁻¹, 10⁻¹, 10⁻¹, 10⁻¹</td>
<td>40.0 ± 3.3 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * viral titer estimated by RT-PCR as 10-fold dilutions of extracted RNA; ** each experiment was repeated three times; *** n = 1. n/d – not detectable; CC – cell culture.
viruses. Apart from IPNV, considerable damage to aquaculture is caused by marine bimavirus (MABV), yellowtail ascites virus (YAV) and Tasmanian aquabirnavirus (TAB), see Isshiki et al (2001). Therefore, to have a sustainable aquaculture, it is necessary to have constant monitoring of the populations of sensitive species and the condition of supplied water they are bred in. Imported fish and fertilized eggs should be visually examined, tested and when infected or contaminated destroyed. The results of our experiments demonstrated that IPNV may be maintained in the environment via its presence in a latent form or as contamination in shellfish invertebrates such as the swan mussel and crayfish (Rud et al, 2014). After eating/filtering infected fish, shellfish may maintain the virus and transmit it to other animals in their ecosystem, also via their faeces (Hald, Ahne, 1988; Rud et al, 2014; Molloy et al, 2013). In addition, IPNV is extremely stable in freshwater (up to one year under 4 °C), salty and marine water, has the highest resistance in the environment among any other viruses of fish and can survive on equipment, in silage waste and in the gut of birds and mammals, so that it can also be transmitted via faeces (Toralzo, Hetrick 1982; Gregory et al, 2007).

As expected, brown trout, a natural host for IPNV (Dopazo, 2020), was very sensitive to the infection with IPNV in our experiments. The high mortality (77 %) of brown trout and the infectious titer of the virus demonstrated high virulence of IPNV strain “Karpaty” which was originally isolated from rainbow trout in 2013 from the Chernivtsi region (Rud et al, 2015). Most species of salmonids are sensitive to IPNV and brown trout is no exception. Our results demonstrated a potential risk of IPNV transmission between the populations of salmonids in the wild and in aquaculture farms of Ukraine. Virus can be transmitted through water exchange between infected farms and rivers, susceptible species and fish eggs even affect neighbouring countries (Wolf et al, 1963; Mutoloki et al, 2016).

Zebrafish were sensitive to IPNV, with a much lower ($10^4$ TCID$_{50}$) infectious titer of the virus, compared to the brown trout ($10^6$ TCID$_{50}$). The increased infectious titer as of the beginning of the experiment resulted in the generation of new virions of IPNV which demonstrated the sensitivity of zebrafish to the virus and the ability of the virus to replicate in the organism of this species. Zebrafish has been used over the years as a simple experimental model of studying virus reproduction in fish (Xu et al 2008; Sullivan, Kim, 2008). Our data are in good agreement with the results of American researchers (Byrne et al, 2008).

Several factors are likely to have been activated in the organism which started restricting virus replication as the level of IPNV decreased at the end of the experiment and the mortality of fish was not as high as that for brown trout. Further study on the expression of antiviral genes (vig-1/viperin or Mx) has been planned to confirm our assumption, but the character of the infection, moderate virus titer, and low mortality may reflect defensive mechanisms proper.

Swan mussel was found to be probably resistant to the virus and no mortality was observed. Shellfish may play and was found to play a useful and decisive role in the systems of integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA), using organic nutrients, related to filtration
In our experiments the viral titer in swan mussels was gradually decreasing, but the virus was still present at the end of the experiment, on day 35 after inoculation. This fact demonstrated the potential of these animals as IPNV carriers since the IPNV concentration remained stable at a low titre of $10^1$–$10^2$ TCID_{50}/ml throughout the experiment. After penetrating the organism, the virus may be eliminated by the mussel’s defenses mechanisms and its infectious titer indeed was reduced. Electron microscopic studies demonstrated the replication of IPNV in a freshwater crustacean crayfish, Astacus astacus (Halder, Ahne, 1988). The authors also suggested the vector function of crayfish, capable of transmitting and releasing the virus into the environment. As rainbow trout and freshwater crayfish are often kept together in one biotope, it was proven that crayfish may get infected by IPNV, while eating infected rainbow trout and, vice versa, transmit the virus to rainbow trout fry, when fish is kept in the same water with infected freshwater crayfish. It was also demonstrated that IPNV may be preserved in the organs of infected freshwater crayfish up to one year (Halder, Ahne, 1988).

The fibroblast-like cell line, derived from rainbow trout (Oncorhyncus mickiss) female gonad, RTG-2 is suitable for the accumulation of viruses, including mammal viruses and also the infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (Cutrin et al, 2009). As IPNV is a highly contagious virus, we observed considerable infectious titers RTG-2 (up to $10^3$ TCID_{50}/ml) that can be compared with titers found by other researchers (Lorenzen et al, 1999; Matvienko et al, 2014). To determine the infectious titer of IPNV in brown trout, zebrafish, swan mussel, and the Danube crayfish, we studied the samples of tissues using the cell culture and RT-PCR. The latter following the method of LaPatra et al. 2000. RT-PCR was found to be more sensitive in detecting low virus concentrations. For instance, we failed to determine the virus in swan mussel on day 35 after inoculation, using the cell culture method, but the virus was still detectable by RT-PCR in 3 of 5 cases. However the results of the present study demonstrate that both cell culture and RT-PCR are reliable tests for the detection of low amounts of IPNV, as was shown by other researchers (Orpetveit et al, 2010).

The results of the present study about the virus, its carriers and new potential hosts/carriers may be helpful in finding new instruments for diagnostics and prevention of the infectious pancreatic necrosis of fish in Ukraine. To determine intracellular localization of the virus and to prove its replication in the organism of experimental animals, the electron microscopic study of ultra thin sections of relevant tissues will be conducted in the near future.

CONCLUSIONS

At the end of our artificial inoculation experiments IPNV titers in brown trout and zebrafish were higher ($10^6$ TCID_{50}/ml and $10^4$ TCID_{50}/ml) than the initial viral doses, indicating virus reproduction.

Swan mussel is IPNV-resistant but is apparently accumulating virus and may serve as a vector and temporary reservoir for the virus.
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Експериментальне інфікування струмкової форелі (Salmo trutta), даніо (Danio rerio), та беззубки (Anodonta cygnea) вірусом інфекційного панкреатичного некрозу (IPNV)
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контролювали танти даніо клітин Bandin I, Dopazo CP.
відповідно Результати Bolotov IN, Kondakov AV, Konopleva ES et al. (2020).
некрозу Мета становила умовах вектори
окопичувати інфекційного

інфекції використовували суперна-
tanti інфікованих клітин. Поведінку та смертність риб контролювали щодня. Інфекційний титр вірусу у під-
dослідних тварин визначали шляхом аналізу в культурі клітин та ПЛР зі зворотною транскріпцією (3Т-ПЛР).

Результати. У даний роботі представлені результати експе-
ментального інфікування IPNV струмкової форелі, даніо та беззубки. Летальність у струмкової форелі становила 76,6 %, а у даніо – 40 %. Беззубка виявилась стійкою до вірусу. Найвищий інфекційний титр вірусу виявили у форелі (10^6 ID_50/ml^3). Інфекційний титр у даніо та беззубки становив 10^4.5 ID_50/ml^3 та 10^2 ID_50/ml^1 відповідно. Висновки. Титри IPNV наприкінці експе-
риментів були вищими, ніж початкові дози вірусу у струмкової форелі та даніо, що свідчить про розмо-
ження вірусу. Було показано, що беззубка може на-
kопичувати і підтримувати вірус протягом менше 35 днів в експериментальних умовах і виступати як беззимтовий переносник IPNV.

Ключові слова: IPNV, експериментальне інфікування, вектори, інфекційний титр.
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